

SARC



OUR
MESSAGE



OUR
TEACHERS

OUR
SCHOOL

Hillview Junior High School

2014-15
School Accountability Report Card
Published in 2015-16



Maria Gonzalez
Principal
mariagonzalez@pittsburg.k12.ca.us



333 Yosemite Drive
Pittsburg, CA 94565

Grades: 6-8
Phone: (925) 473-2380
www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us/hillview

CDS Code: 07-61788-6061162

Para español, visita:
www.pittsburg.k12.ca.us

.....
SARC



Principal's Message

At Hillview Junior High School, we envision a respectful and trusting student-centered environment with:

- Strong community-school connections
- Shared decision-making with the community as a norm
- Clear and high expectations
- A collaborative, cooperative, and safe learning and work environment
- Appreciation of our cultural and ethnic diversity
- Respect for individual differences
- Ongoing reflection, assessment, and evaluation of all facets of the learning community
- An integrated, interdisciplinary, meaning-centered curriculum accessible to all students

As a schoolwide program, our goal is to provide relevant, challenging work for all students as we link business, community and parents. We view parents as partners in providing experiences that are the foundation and support of learning. Our goal is to prepare our diverse student body with the knowledge and skills to face the challenge of living and working in the technological, information-based world of the 21st century. We will make significant improvements in test scores this year!

School Mission Statement

Hillview Junior High School collaborates with students, parents, and community partners to prepare tomorrow's leaders for their future using a strategic approach of developing critical thinking, emphasizing effective communication, and instilling accountability and independence.

Parental Involvement

We currently have one parent volunteer aide on-site for a total of seven-and-a-half hours a day. Our parent volunteer aide is fluent in Spanish, which allows us to better serve our Spanish-speaking population (approximately 50 percent of our student and parent populations). The role of the parent volunteer aide includes recruiting parent volunteers and coordinating parent activities, such as Bring-Your-Parent-to-School Week, and overseeing activities that require parent volunteers and parental support (e.g., Lunch on the Lawn; book fairs; Honor Roll assemblies; supervision during lunchtime; and student-award activities, such as ice-cream socials). Our parent-participation rate continues to grow due to an intensive effort to recruit parents to assist in the classrooms, grade papers, supervise students during lunchtime, and assist in our library. We are constantly seeking parents and community members interested in volunteering at the school. There are many opportunities to participate in making our school a better place for our students!

Our band booster program is our largest parent-supported program. We have approximately 100 students involved in our band program. They perform at various school functions and community events. We have a core group of parent volunteers (15-20 parents) that give their time at the school on a regular basis.

Our English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meets six times a year, and our School Site Council (SSC) meets monthly to discuss school programs, create the Site Plan for the upcoming year, review funding requests, and discuss parent concerns. Our parents also represent our school on the District Advisory Committee (DAC) and District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC).

For more information on how to become involved, please contact Parent Volunteer Aide Charlotte Zamora at (925) 473-2380, ext. 5132 or czamora@pittsburg.k12.ca.us.

School Safety

The school safety plan identifies emergency procedures and security protocols. Students and staff are prepared to respond to various emergencies through regular drills and in-class discussions with regard to safety and personal security. The safety plan addresses information regarding the physical, social and cultural aspects of the school environment. It is reviewed annually and updated accordingly. Included in the safety plan are school and evacuation maps with directions regarding how to evacuate the school.

In addition, the district has developed a Crisis Intervention Plan to activate in the event of a crisis. Support staff is available to provide targeted support in the event of a crisis (e.g., most schools have a psychologist who is available for crisis counseling in the event of a tragic event). The school safety plan may be accessed through our website. Parents and community members may also request a hard copy by visiting the school.

The school safety plan was most recently reviewed, updated and discussed with school faculty in August 2015.

School Accountability Report Card

In accordance with state and federal requirements, the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is put forth annually by all public schools as a tool for parents and interested parties to stay informed of the school's progress, test scores and achievements.



Pittsburg Unified Mission Statement

It is the mission of Pittsburg Unified School District to inspire our students, to ensure they achieve equity in academic excellence and to bring students closer together through shared experiences in learning. We believe the cultural diversity of our community and our youth are our greatest assets. We endeavor to bring our students to their fullest potential and to create lifelong learners who will contribute positively to the world.

Governing Board

- Mr. Duane Smith, President
- Mr. De'Shawn Woolridge, Vice president
- Dr. Laura Canciamilla, Trustee
- Mr. George Miller, Trustee
- Mr. Joseph Arenivar, Trustee

Board Goals

1. Student Achievement

- Students will demonstrate continuous improvement in academic excellence, as measured by clear and accessible multiple assessments
- Opportunity gaps will have accelerated reduction through purposeful interventions and supports

2. Powerful Instruction

- Effective instruction aligned to the common core will be expected, supported and measured to ensure continuous improvement of student achievement

3. Outstanding Staff

- The district will recruit "highly qualified" and appropriately credentialed teachers
- The district will support, retain and promote quality staff through good working conditions, competitive total compensation packages, and coaching and professional development

4. Quality Learning Environment

- High-quality facilities
- Safe, orderly and secure schools
- School site culture of caring and respect
- State-of-the-art technology

5. High-Performing, Accountable Organization


- Fiscal stability and responsible long-range planning
- Comprehensive accountability system
- Effective informational and instructional technology
- Responsible, respectful, efficient and transparent service

6. Meaningful Collaboration, Partnership and Parental Engagement

- Timely and accessible communication with community
- Strategic community partnerships
- Focus on parent and student engagement, including diverse opportunities for involvement
- Strong communication and relationships between parents/guardians and schools
- Proactive engagement in students' academic and personal growth
- Board and superintendent and staff communication

Class Size Distribution

The bar graph displays the three-year data for average class size and the table displays the three-year data for the number of classrooms by size.



Number of Classrooms by Size **Three-Year Data**

Grade	2012-13			2013-14			2014-15		
	Number of Students								
	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+	1-20	21-32	33+
6	17	33	15	20	33	20	11	15	28
Subject	Number of Students								
	1-22	23-32	33+	1-22	23-32	33+	1-22	23-32	33+
English language arts	10	17	5	4	15	5	9	9	5
Mathematics	8	22	2	8	13	6	6	5	2
Science	6	9	6	1	8	8	2	6	11
History/social science	10	7	8	3	7	9	2	7	10

Professional Development

The Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), Local Education Agency Plan (LEAP) addendum and the sites' Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) are the genesis of selected focus for professional development. The data from student achievement drives the selection of adult learning opportunities. Based on student-achievement data, including the data from underserved student groups, the district is focusing professional development on integrating the Common Core State Standards in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics.

Every teacher participates in three full-release professional-development days. In addition, educators collaborate with each other in facilitated grade-level/department meetings. Site administrators provide support and feedback to staff members related to targeted improvements. Pittsburg teachers also participate in a structured Collaboration Cycle where they meet with their colleagues to create common lessons that they teach in their classrooms while their colleagues and instructional coaches provide them feedback.


Summer institutes are numerous and comprehensive. In-depth trainings are available in implementing core curricula, intervention programs, English Language Development, structured engagement, expository writing, reading comprehension and mathematics, as a few examples. Teachers fulfill the No Child Left Behind Act requirements for being highly qualified with district support. Compensation is provided to teachers who attend professional development outside the workday.

Professional Development Days **Three-Year Data**

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Hillview JHS	3 days	3 days	3 days


Enrollment by Student Group

The total enrollment at the school was 874 students for the 2014-15 school year. The pie chart displays the percentage of students enrolled in each group.



Enrollment by Grade

The bar graph displays the total number of students enrolled in each grade for the 2014-15 school year.



Suspensions and Expulsions

This table shows the school, district and state suspension and expulsion rates for the most recent three-year period. Note: Students are only counted one time, regardless of the number of suspensions.

Suspensions and Expulsions **Three-Year Data**

	Hillview JHS			Pittsburg USD			California		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Suspension rates	19.8%	21.1%	11.3%	10.4%	10.8%	7.8%	5.1%	4.4%	3.8%
Expulsion rates	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

Types of Services Funded

The following services are provided at the district and/or site level:

- Collaboration and Intervention Team
- Professional Development including ELA and math trainings, cross-cultural language and academic-development examination, ADEPT, Systematic ELD, Lesson Study, data protocol, etc.
- Parent and family engagement opportunities and training
- Extended-day and summer intervention programs
- College-readiness programs
- Counseling
- Class-size reduction
- Summer programs
- Credit recovery programs

Public Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-serve basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available at a workstation and the ability to print documents.



"As a schoolwide program, our goal is to provide relevant, challenging work for all students as we link business, community and parents."



California Physical Fitness Test

Each spring, all students in grades 5, 7 and 9 are required to participate in the California Physical Fitness Test (PFT). The Fitnessgram® is the designated PFT for students in California public schools put forth by the State Board of Education. The PFT measures six key fitness areas:

1. Aerobic Capacity
2. Body Composition
3. Flexibility
4. Abdominal Strength and Endurance
5. Upper Body Strength and Endurance
6. Trunk Extensor Strength and Flexibility

Encouraging and assisting students in establishing lifelong habits of regular physical activity is the primary goal of the Fitnessgram. The table shows the percentage of students meeting the fitness standards of being in the "healthy fitness zone" for the most recent testing period. For more detailed information on the California PFT, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf.

Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards	
2014-15 School Year	
Grade 7	
Four of six standards	18.8%
Five of six standards	24.6%
Six of six standards	17.4%

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) requires all schools and districts meet Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) requirements. California public schools and districts are required to meet or exceed criteria in the following four target areas:

- Participation rate on statewide assessments in English language arts and mathematics
- Percentage of students scoring proficient on statewide assessments in English language arts and mathematics
- Attendance rates for schools with enrollment in Transitional Kindergarten through grade eight (TK-8)
- Graduation rate for high schools

The table displays whether or not the school, district, and state met each of the AYP criteria and made overall AYP for 2014-15. For more information, visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay.

Adequate Yearly Progress Criteria		2014-15 School Year		
	Hillview JHS	Pittsburg USD	California	
Met overall AYP	Yes	No	Yes	
Met participation rate:				
English language arts	Yes	No	Yes	
Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Met percent proficient:				
English language arts	■	■	■	
Mathematics	■	■	■	
Met attendance rates	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Met graduation rate	○	Yes	Yes	

Federal Intervention Program

Schools and districts receiving Title I funding that fail to meet AYP over two consecutive years in the same content area (English language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator enter into Program Improvement (PI). Each additional year that the district or school(s) do not meet AYP results in advancement to the next level of intervention. The percentage of schools identified for Program Improvement is calculated by taking the number of schools currently in PI within the district and dividing it by the total number of Title I schools within the district.

This table displays the 2015-16 Program Improvement status for the school and district. For detailed information about PI identification, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Federal Intervention Program		2015-16 School Year	
	Hillview JHS	Pittsburg USD	
Program Improvement status	In PI	In PI	
First year of Program Improvement	1998-1999	2008-2009	
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3	
Number of Title I schools currently in Program Improvement	8		
Percentage of Title I schools currently in Program Improvement	72.70%		

■ Not applicable. Due to the transition to the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments, the U.S. Department of Education approved the California Department of Education's waiver request to exclude the percent proficient results from AYP determinations. For more information on the AYP reports, please visit <http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

○ Not applicable. This AYP indicator is only applicable for high schools.

California Standards Tests for All Students: Science (grades 5, 8 and 10)

The tables show the percentage of students in grades 5, 8 and 10 who scored at Proficient or Advanced levels (meeting or exceeding state standards) in science.

Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Levels	Three-Year Data								
	Hillview JHS			Pittsburg USD			California		
Subject	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	60%	58%	59%	44%	49%	42%	59%	60%	56%

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group: Science (grades 5, 8 and 10)

Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced Levels	2014-15 School Year
Group	Science
All students in the district	42%
All students at the school	59%
Male	61%
Female	57%
Black or African-American	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native	❖
Asian	❖
Filipino	84%
Hispanic or Latino	59%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	❖
White	66%
Two or more races	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged	60%
English learners	31%
Students with disabilities	❖
Students receiving Migrant Education services	❖
Foster youth	❖

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (grades 3-8 and 11)

The table below shows the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the state standards in English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standards	2014-15 School Year		
Subject	Hillview JHS	Pittsburg USD	California
English language arts/literacy	34%	29%	44%
Mathematics	19%	21%	33%

❖ Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

❖ Information is not available at this time.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress/Standardized Testing and Reporting Results

Beginning in the 2013-14 school year, the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program was eliminated and replaced by a new set of assessments called the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP).

For the 2015 school year, the CAASPP included a mix of online and paper-pencil assessments. The online component contained the Smarter Balanced English language arts/literacy and mathematics tests. The paper-pencil science assessments of CAASPP included the California Standards Test (CST), California Modified Assessment (CMA) and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). There was also the optional Standards-based Tests in Spanish for reading/language arts.

The CST is a multiple-choice test in science for varying grade levels. The CMA is a modified assessment for students with disabilities who have an Individualized Education Plan (IEP). The CAPA is an alternate assessment for students with significant cognitive disabilities who are unable to take the CST with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

For more information on the CAASPP assessments, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca.

CAASPP by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics

The tables on the following pages display the information on student achievement at each performance level in English language arts and mathematics for the school by student groups for grades 6-8.

Levels of achievement include:

- Level 1 = Standard not met
- Level 2 = Standard nearly met
- Level 3 = Standard met
- Level 4 = Standard exceeded

Note: The number of students tested includes students who did not receive a score. However, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the performance level percentages. The performance level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 6

Students Achieving at Each Performance Level				2014-15 School Year			
English Language Arts: Grade 6	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested of Total Enrollment	Percent Achievement			
Group				Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	291	290	99.7%	26%	40%	27%	7%
Male		147	50.5%	34%	37%	23%	5%
Female		143	49.1%	18%	42%	30%	10%
Black or African-American		72	24.7%	28%	43%	24%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian		13	4.5%	31%	23%	31%	15%
Filipino		11	3.8%	9%	18%	9%	55%
Hispanic or Latino		170	58.4%	26%	40%	29%	4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		7	2.4%	❖	❖	❖	❖
White		14	4.8%	21%	50%	14%	14%
Two or more races		3	1.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		241	82.8%	28%	41%	27%	4%
English learners		67	23.0%	57%	39%	3%	0%
Students with disabilities		19	6.5%	68%	21%	11%	0%
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Foster youth		◇	◇	◇	◇	◇	◇
Mathematics: Grade 6	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested of Total Enrollment	Percent Achievement			
Group				Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	291	291	100.0%	46%	37%	11%	5%
Male		148	50.9%	51%	34%	9%	5%
Female		143	49.1%	41%	40%	14%	6%
Black or African-American		72	24.7%	49%	36%	11%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian		13	4.5%	46%	15%	31%	8%
Filipino		11	3.8%	27%	0%	45%	27%
Hispanic or Latino		171	58.8%	46%	41%	8%	4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		7	2.4%	❖	❖	❖	❖
White		14	4.8%	50%	43%	0%	7%
Two or more races		3	1.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		242	83.2%	48%	38%	10%	5%
English learners		68	23.4%	79%	18%	1%	1%
Students with disabilities		19	6.5%	68%	21%	0%	5%
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Foster youth		◇	◇	◇	◇	◇	◇

Level 1 = Standard not met Level 2 = Standard nearly met Level 3 = Standard met Level 4 = Standard exceeded

❖ Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

◇ Information is not available at this time.

CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 7

Students Achieving at Each Performance Level				2014-15 School Year			
English Language Arts: Grade 7	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested of Total Enrollment	Percent Achievement			
Group				Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	295	293	99.3%	38%	29%	26%	8%
Male		146	49.5%	49%	27%	18%	5%
Female		147	49.8%	27%	30%	33%	10%
Black or African-American		54	18.3%	52%	30%	17%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian		10	3.4%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Filipino		19	6.4%	21%	21%	47%	11%
Hispanic or Latino		168	56.9%	37%	30%	26%	7%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		7	2.4%	❖	❖	❖	❖
White		30	10.2%	37%	17%	33%	13%
Two or more races		5	1.7%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		238	80.7%	42%	29%	22%	6%
English learners		61	20.7%	77%	18%	3%	0%
Students with disabilities		23	7.8%	83%	13%	4%	0%
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Foster youth		❖	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Mathematics: Grade 7	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested of Total Enrollment	Percent Achievement			
Group				Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	295	294	99.7%	49%	36%	13%	2%
Male		147	49.8%	55%	30%	12%	3%
Female		147	49.8%	44%	41%	14%	1%
Black or African-American		54	18.3%	63%	33%	4%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian		10	3.4%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Filipino		19	6.4%	26%	53%	21%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		168	56.9%	48%	36%	13%	2%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		7	2.4%	❖	❖	❖	❖
White		30	10.2%	50%	27%	17%	7%
Two or more races		6	2.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		239	81.0%	52%	36%	11%	1%
English learners		61	20.7%	75%	23%	2%	0%
Students with disabilities		24	8.1%	92%	4%	4%	0%
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Foster youth		❖	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖

Level 1 = Standard not met Level 2 = Standard nearly met Level 3 = Standard met Level 4 = Standard exceeded

❖ Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

❖ Information is not available at this time.

CAASPP Results by Student Group: English Language Arts and Mathematics - Grade 8

Students Achieving at Each Performance Level				2014-15 School Year			
English Language Arts: Grade 8	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested of Total Enrollment	Percent Achievement			
Group				Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	260	257	98.8%	27%	36%	32%	5%
Male		144	55.4%	32%	40%	24%	4%
Female		113	43.5%	21%	32%	41%	6%
Black or African-American		44	16.9%	39%	27%	32%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian		9	3.5%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Filipino		19	7.3%	5%	26%	47%	21%
Hispanic or Latino		157	60.4%	27%	41%	27%	4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		8	3.1%	❖	❖	❖	❖
White		16	6.2%	25%	38%	38%	0%
Two or more races		4	1.5%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		199	76.5%	31%	36%	29%	5%
English learners		55	21.2%	45%	53%	2%	0%
Students with disabilities		17	6.5%	94%	6%	0%	0%
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Foster youth		❖	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖
Mathematics: Grade 8	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percentage Tested of Total Enrollment	Percent Achievement			
Group				Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All students	260	257	98.8%	47%	28%	17%	7%
Male		144	55.4%	45%	28%	17%	8%
Female		113	43.5%	50%	27%	17%	6%
Black or African-American		44	16.9%	55%	30%	9%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Asian		9	3.5%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Filipino		19	7.3%	11%	42%	26%	21%
Hispanic or Latino		157	60.4%	50%	25%	17%	6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		8	3.1%	❖	❖	❖	❖
White		16	6.2%	50%	25%	13%	13%
Two or more races		4	1.5%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Socioeconomically disadvantaged		199	76.5%	48%	27%	17%	7%
English learners		55	21.2%	75%	20%	5%	0%
Students with disabilities		17	6.5%	82%	12%	0%	0%
Students receiving Migrant Education services		0	0.0%	❖	❖	❖	❖
Foster youth		❖	❖	❖	❖	❖	❖

Level 1 = Standard not met Level 2 = Standard nearly met Level 3 = Standard met Level 4 = Standard exceeded

❖ Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or fewer, either because the number of students tested in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

❖ Information is not available at this time.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

California state-adopted textbooks are recommended by district committees adopted by the board and then purchased. Each site, through funds supplied by the district, has been able to provide each student with a copy of every core textbook to use in class and take home for homework.

The district has purchased textbooks and instructional materials for grades K-12; said textbooks and instructional materials are used by students at each grade level in each school. The Pittsburg Unified School District Governing Board declared during its fall school board meeting that PUSD has provided sufficient textbooks or instructional materials consistent with the state board-adopted curriculum framework cycle.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials List

2015-16 School Year

Subject	Textbook	Adopted
English language arts	Glencoe/McGraw-Hill (6-8)	2010
Mathematics	Math, Prentice Hall (6-8)	2008
Mathematics	Pre-Algebra, Prentice Hall (6-8)	2008
Mathematics	Algebra 1, Prentice Hall (6-8)	2008
Science	Prentice Hall (6-8)	2007
History/social science	Holt (6-8)	2006



Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

The following lists the percentage of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials.

Percentage of Students Lacking Materials by Subject

2015-16 School Year

Hillview JHS	Percentage Lacking
Reading/language arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History/social science	0%
Visual and performing arts	0%
Foreign language	0%
Health	0%

Quality of Textbooks

The following table outlines the criteria required for choosing textbooks and instructional materials.

Quality of Textbooks

2015-16 School Year

Criteria	Yes/No
Are the textbooks adopted from the most recent state-approved or local governing-board-approved list?	Yes
Are the textbooks consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education?	Yes
Do all students, including English learners, have access to their own textbooks and instructional materials to use in class and to take home?	Yes

Currency of Textbook Data

This table displays the date when the textbook and instructional materials information was collected and verified.

Currency of Textbooks

2015-16 School Year

Data collection date	9/2015
----------------------	--------

“We view parents as partners in providing experiences that are the foundation and support of learning.”



School Facilities

Hillview Junior High School was built in 1958 and renovated in 2001-02 (primarily wiring and flooring upgrades). The school includes 44 classrooms, a library, three computer labs, a band room, cafeteria, stage and gymnasium. Recently, we have upgraded the technology in each classroom to include closed-circuit television, cable television, LCD projectors, document cameras and upgraded computers.

We currently employ four full-time custodians to maintain the campus. Bathrooms, in particular, are cleaned multiple times throughout the day and checked by the school administration to ensure cleanliness.

We have a closed campus with our outer doors secured during the regular school day. Students are supervised at all times, including before and after school, during lunch, and during passing periods.

Every site is inspected on a monthly basis according to the guidelines generated by the Williams settlement. At this time, we have no safety-related discrepancies that have not been corrected. The site administrator and the custodial staff address school cleanliness daily. Restrooms are cleaned on a routine basis, and discrepancies are noted, work orders created, and corrections made on a timely basis. School grounds and facilities are adequate for the student enrollments assigned to the site. Deferred maintenance items are scheduled and completed in a timely manner.

Hillview is preparing to upgrade the fields around campus, which includes a new watering scheme. We are very proud of our turf field. This will greatly improve the exterior conditions. Additionally, solar panels have been erected in the northwest parking lot and field.

PUSD and its trustees are aware of problems with the Hillview Junior High School fields. This problem is at the top of the priority list, and PUSD hopes to have it rectified by the end of the current school year.



"At Hillview Junior High School, we envision a respectful and trusting student-centered environment."



School Facility Items Inspected

The tables show the results of the school's most recent inspection using the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) or equivalent school form. The following is a list of items inspected.

- **Systems:** Gas systems and pipes, sewer, mechanical systems (heating, ventilation and air-conditioning)
- **Interior:** Interior surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls and window casings)
- **Cleanliness:** Pest/vermin control, overall cleanliness (school grounds, buildings, rooms and common areas)
- **Electrical:** Electrical systems (interior and exterior)
- **Restrooms/fountains:** Restrooms, sinks and drinking fountains (interior and exterior)
- **Safety:** Fire-safety equipment, emergency systems, hazardous materials (interior and exterior)
- **Structural:** Structural condition, roofs
- **External:** Windows/doors/gates/fences, playgrounds/school grounds

School Facility Good Repair Status

This inspection determines the school facility's good repair status using ratings of good condition, fair condition or poor condition. The overall summary of facility conditions uses ratings of exemplary, good, fair or poor.

School Facility Good Repair Status		2015-16 School Year	
Items Inspected	Repair Status	Items Inspected	Repair Status
Systems	Good	Restrooms/fountains	Good
Interior	Fair	Safety	Good
Cleanliness	Good	Structural	Good
Electrical	Good	External	Fair
Overall summary of facility conditions			Good
Date of the most recent school site inspection			8/10/2015
Date of the most recent completion of the inspection form			8/10/2015

Deficiencies and Repairs

The table lists the repairs required for all deficiencies found during the site inspection. Regardless of each item's repair status, all deficiencies are listed.

Deficiencies and Repairs		2015-16 School Year
Items Inspected	Deficiencies, Action Taken or Planned, and Date of Action	
Interior	Walls in need of paint. Work orders processed and completed in October of 2015.	
External	Concrete cracking. Work orders processed and completed in October of 2015.	

Teacher Qualifications

This table shows information about teacher credentials and teacher qualifications. Teachers without a full credential include teachers with district and university internships, pre-internships, emergency or other permits, and waivers. For more information on teacher credentials, visit www.ctc.ca.gov.

Teacher Credential Information	Three-Year Data			
	Pittsburg USD	Hillview JHS		
Teachers	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16
With full credential	485	38	38	36
Without full credential	15	2	0	2
Teaching outside subject area of competence (with full credential)	7	1	1	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Please note total teacher misassignments includes the number of teacher misassignments of English learners.

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions	Three-Year Data		
	Hillview JHS		
Teachers	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teacher misassignments of English learners	0	0	0
Total teacher misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant teacher positions	0	1	1

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) extended the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) to require that core academic subjects be taught by "highly qualified" teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated competence for each core academic subject area he or she teaches. The table displays data regarding highly qualified teachers from the 2014-15 school year.

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced-priced meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced-priced meals program. For more information on teacher qualifications related to NCLB, visit www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq.

No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers	2014-15 School Year	
	Percentage of Classes in Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
Hillview JHS	92.97%	7.03%
All schools in district	86.66%	13.34%
High-poverty schools in district	86.66%	13.34%
Low-poverty schools in district	✧	✧

✧ Not applicable.



Academic Counselors and School Support Staff

This table displays information about academic counselors and support staff at the school and their full-time equivalent (FTE).

Academic Counselors and School Support Staff Data	
2014-15 School Year	
Academic Counselors	
FTE of academic counselors	1.0
Average number of students per academic counselor	940
Support Staff	
Social/behavioral or career development counselors	1.0
Library media teacher (librarian)	0.0
Library media services staff (paraprofessional)	1.0
Psychologist	0.8
Social worker	0.0
Nurse	0.0
Speech/language/hearing specialist	0.4
Resource specialist (nonteaching)	0.0

School Financial Data

The following table displays the school's average teacher salary and a breakdown of the school's expenditures per pupil from unrestricted and restricted sources.

School Financial Data	
2013-14 Fiscal Year	
Total expenditures per pupil	\$5,592
Expenditures per pupil from restricted sources	\$237
Expenditures per pupil from unrestricted sources	\$5,355
Annual average teacher salary	\$58,652

Financial Data

The financial data displayed in this SARC is from the 2013-14 fiscal year. The most current fiscal information available provided by the state is always two years behind the current school year and one year behind most other data included in this report. For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data website at www.ed-data.org.

District Financial Data

This table displays district teacher and administrative salary information and compares the figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Note: The district salary data does not include benefits.

District Salary Data	2013-14 Fiscal Year	
	Pittsburg USD	Similar Sized District
Beginning teacher salary	\$41,429	\$43,062
Midrange teacher salary	\$61,869	\$67,927
Highest teacher salary	\$76,884	\$87,811
Average elementary school principal salary	\$101,398	\$110,136
Average middle school principal salary	\$109,895	\$115,946
Average high school principal salary	\$121,484	\$124,865
Superintendent salary	\$189,855	\$211,869
Teacher salaries: percentage of budget	37%	39%
Administrative salaries: percentage of budget	6%	5%

Financial Data Comparison

This table displays the school's per-pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources and the school's average teacher salary and compares it to the district and state data.

Financial Data Comparison	2013-14 Fiscal Year	
	Expenditures Per Pupil From Unrestricted Sources	Annual Average Teacher Salary
Hillview JHS	\$5,355	\$58,652
Pittsburg USD	\$6,035	\$61,038
California	\$5,348	\$59,180
School and district: percentage difference	-11.3%	-3.9%
School and California: percentage difference	+0.1%	-0.9%

Expenditures Per Pupil

Supplemental/restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

School Accountability Report Card

PUBLISHED BY:



Data for this year's SARC was provided by the California Department of Education (CDE), school and district offices. For additional information on California schools and districts and comparisons of the school to the district, the county and the state, please visit DataQuest at <http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest>. DataQuest is an online resource that provides reports for accountability, test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing and data regarding English learners. Per *Education Code* Section 35256, each school district shall make hard copies of its annually updated report card available, upon request, on or before February 1 of each year.

All data accurate as of December 2015.

Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) Requirements Aligned in Your SARC

The tables below outline the state priority areas that are included in the School Accountability Report Card.

Conditions of Learning

The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1).

Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements	Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC
Conditions of Learning	
State Priority: Basic	
Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching. Education Code (EC) § 52060 (d)(1)	
Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials. EC § 52060 (d)(1)	
School facilities are maintained in good repair. EC § 52060 (d)(1)	

Pupil Outcomes

The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4) and Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8).

Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements	Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC
Pupil Outcomes	
State Priority: Pupil Achievements	
Statewide assessments (e.g., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress). EC § 52060 (d)(4)(A)	
The Academic Performance Index. EC § 52060 (d)(4)(B)	
The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study. EC § 52060 (d)(4)(C)	
State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes	
Pupil outcomes in subject areas such as English, mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, career technical education, and other studies prescribed by the governing board. ¹ EC § 52060 (d)(8)	

Engagement

The table below describes information in the SARC that is relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3), Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5) and School Climate State Priority (Priority 6).

Local Control Accountability Plan Requirements	Alignment Between State Priority Areas and the SARC
Engagement	
State Priority: Parent Involvement	
Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site. EC § 52060 (d)(3)	
State Priority: Pupil Engagement	
High school dropout rates. EC § 52060 (d)(5)(D)	
High school graduation rates. EC § 52060 (d)(5)(E)	
State Priority: School Climate	
Pupil suspension rates. EC § 52060 (d)(6)(A)	
Pupil expulsion rates. EC § 52060 (d)(6)(B)	
Other local measures including surveys of students, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. ² EC § 52060 (d)(6)(C)	

Note: State Priority 2 and 7 are not required in the SARC, as well as certain portions of Priority 4 and 5. For detailed information about LCAP and frequently asked questions, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/lcfaq.asp.

¹ English, mathematics and physical education are the only subject areas included in Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8) that are reflected in the SARC.

² The school safety plan is the only other local measure of School Climate (Priority 6) that is reflected in the SARC.